

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>alvin pritchard

<ADDRESS1>108 e crossbow lane

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>slippery rock

<STATE>PA

<ZIP>16057

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>amyjoe2@zoominternet.net

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

As below, I USE PREPAID CELL (TRAC PHONE) FOR EMERGENCY AND I CAN NOT AFFORD THE RATES AND FEES FOR CONVENTIONAL CELL PHONE PLANS. ALSO I USE A PREPAID CARD FOR LONG DISTANCE, ALSO BECAUSE REGULAR MONTHLY SERVICE IS TOO EXPENSIVE FOR THE FEW CALLS I MAKE

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

alvin pritchard

108 e crossbow lane

slippery rock, Pennsylvania 16057